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Problem Statement: The task is to design a cable that will support a 60 -ton vehicle. The cable is 25 -foot-long and can have an elastic deformation of no more than $10 \%$. Using the Modulus of Elasticity for various metals design a cable. Use standard cable diameter sizes listed below (units are inch):

Introduction: To solve this problem, like any other problems I need to first identified the issue that I would like to solve. So, since I'm trying to identify which material to use I need to know their characteristics and properties. So, I first made a table of the component elements of each metal using Matweb as illustrated in table 1. Then, after obtaining the table 1 below, I proceed to the conversion of the data that I already have to a preferable unit. Secondly, I use the $10 \%$ deformation that is in the problem statement to determine the change in length. Then I calculated the strain, stress, area and diameter of the material that are being analyzed ( 1045 Steel, 2014-T6 Aluminum, Copper, Titanium TI-6Al-4V (Grade 5), Annealed). After, I calculated the stress based on all common diameter sizes given in Project Instructions. Then, I obtain a plot of Stress Vs Diameter. Then I proceed the fatigue analyzes where I calculated the stress generated for aluminum and steel in order to find the maximum number of cycles for selected diameter that will survive based on the SN curve. Finally I calculate the thermal expansion of each materials and plot them in the curve.

## Results:

## Part I

1) Material Information

Table 1: component elements properties of material to analyze

| Component Elements Properties | 1045 Steel | $2014-\mathrm{T6}$ <br> Aluminum | Copper | Titanium Ti-6Al-4V (Grade 5), Annealed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Carbon, C | $0.42-0.50 \%$ |  |  | $<=0.080 \%$ |
| Iron, Fe | $98.51-98.98$ <br> $\%$ | $<=0.70 \%$ |  | $<=0.40 \%$ |
| Manganese, Mn | $0.60-0.90 \%$ | $0.40-1.2 \%$ |  |  |
| Phosphorous, P | $<=0.040 \%$ |  |  |  |
| Sulfur, S | $<=0.050 \%$ |  |  |  |
| Aluminum, Al |  | $90.4-95 \%$ |  |  |
| Chromium, Cr |  | $<=0.10 \%$ |  |  |
| Copper, Cu |  | $3.9-5.0 \%$ | $100 \%$ |  |
| Magnesium, Mg |  | $0.20-0.80 \%$ |  |  |
| Silicon, Si |  | $0.50-1.2 \%$ |  | $<=0.015 \%$ |
| Titanium, Ti |  | $<=0.15 \%$ |  | $<=0.030 \%$ |
| Zinc, Zn |  |  |  | $<=0.20 \%$ |
| Hydrogen, H |  |  |  |  |
| Nitrogen, N |  |  |  |  |
| Oxygen, O |  |  |  |  |
| Vanadium, V |  |  |  |  |



Figure 1: Conversion and calculation of data

1) Calculation of Required Diameter Based on Modulus of Elasticity

Table 3: calculation for the proposed diameter of the cable

| Material <br> to be <br> analyzed | modulus of <br> elasticity | force (lb.) |  <br> o $=$ initial <br> length <br> (in) | dI (change <br> in length) | strain | stress | Area (in ${ }^{2}$ ) | Diameter (in) | proposed <br> diameter (in) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aluminum | $10,000,000$ | 120000 | 300 | 30 | 0.1 | 1000000 | 0.12 | 0.39 | $1 / 2$ |
| Steel | $30,000,000$ | 120000 | 300 | 30 | 0.1 | 3000000 | 0.04 | 0.23 | $1 / 4$ |
| Copper | $15,000,000$ | 120000 | 300 | 30 | 0.1 | 1500000 | 0.08 | 0.32 | $3 / 8$ |
| Titanium | $12,000,000$ | 120000 | 300 | 30 | 0.1 | 1200000 | 0.1 | 0.36 | $3 / 8$ |

Table 4: calculation of the strength of the design cable with proposed diameter

|  | proposed <br> diameter (in) | radius (in) | area $\left(\mathrm{in}^{2}\right)$ | stress $\left(\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}\right)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Aluminum $=$ | $1 / 2$ | $1 / 4$ | 0.196349541 | 611154.9815 |
| Steel | $1 / 4$ | $1 / 8$ | 0.049087385 | 2444619.926 |
| Copper $=$ | $3 / 8$ | $1 / 5$ | 0.110446617 | 1086497.745 |
| Titanium $=$ | $3 / 8$ | $1 / 5$ | 0.110446617 | 1086497.745 |

2) Stress Calculation based on all common diameter sizes

Table 5: Stress Calculation based on all common diameter

| Stress Calculations Based on all common diameter size |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| diameter | radius | Area | force | Stress |
| 2 | 1 | 3.141592654 | 120000 | 38197.18634 |
| $13 / 4$ | $7 / 8$ | 2.405281875 | 120000 | 49890.20257 |
| $11 / 2$ | $3 / 4$ | 1.767145868 | 120000 | 67906.10905 |
| $11 / 4$ | $5 / 8$ | 1.22718463 | 120000 | 97784.79704 |
| 1 | $1 / 2$ | 0.785398163 | 120000 | 152788.74537 |
| $3 / 4$ | $3 / 8$ | 0.441786467 | 120000 | 271624.43621 |
| $1 / 2$ | $1 / 4$ | 0.196349541 | 120000 | 611154.98147 |
| $3 / 8$ | $1 / 5$ | 0.110446617 | 120000 | 1086497.74484 |
| $1 / 4$ | $1 / 8$ | 0.049087385 | 120000 | 2444619.92589 |
| $3 / 16$ | $3 / 32$ | 0.027611654 | 120000 | 4345990.97936 |
| $1 / 8$ | $1 / 16$ | 0.012271846 | 120000 | 9778479.70357 |
| $1 / 16$ | $1 / 32$ | 0.003067962 | 120000 | 39113918.81426 |
| $1 / 32$ | $1 / 64$ | 0.00076699 | 120000 | 156455675.25706 |
| $1 / 64$ | $1 / 128$ | 0.000191748 | 120000 | 625822701.02823 |

3) Stress Vs Diameter plot


Figure 2: Plot of Stress Vs Diameter

## Part II:

Fatigue Analysis:


2a)
Stress calculation for selected diameter for steel:
Table 6: Calculation of stress for steel

| diameter | radius | area | force | stress |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1 / 4$ | $1 / 8$ | 0.049087 | 120000 | 2444619.926 |

Stress Calculation for selected diameter for aluminum
Table 7: Calculation of stress for aluminum

| diameter | radius | area | force | stress |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1 / 2$ | $1 / 4$ | 0.19635 | 120000 | 611155 |

Based on my calculation, the maximum number of cycle for steel will be less than $10^{\wedge} 3$ psi and for aluminum it will be also less than that which is not normal. So, by changing my diameter for steel to $1 / 2$ and for aluminum to $1 \not / 4$ I am able to obtain: a value of 611155 (psi) for steel and 244619.926 (psi) for aluminum which are more reasonable value. Consequently, based on those values the maximum number of cycle for steel is about $10^{\wedge} 5$ cycles and for aluminum it is about $10^{\wedge} 7$ cycles.

Thermal Analysis:

1) Calculation of thermal expansion of each material

Table 8: Thermal expansion for each material

Thermal Expansion for each material

|  |  | Steel | Aluminum | Copper | Titanium |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| temperature | length(in) | thermal expansion | thermal expansion | thermal expansion | thermal expansion |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 20 | 30 | 0.04332 | 0.0786 | 0.0618 | 0.03234 |
| 40 | 300 | 0.08664 | 0.1572 | 0.1236 | 0.06468 |
| 60 | 300 | 0.12996 | 0.2358 | 0.1854 | 0.09702 |
| 80 | 300 | 0.17328 | 0.3144 | 0.2472 | 0.12936 |
| 100 | 300 | 0.2166 | 0.393 | 0.309 | 0.1617 |
| 120 | 300 | 0.25992 | 0.30324 | 0.5502 | 0.3708 |
| 140 | 300 | 0.34656 | 0.6288 | 0.4326 | 0.19404 |
| 160 | 300 | 0.38988 | 0.7074 | 0.4944 | 0.22638 |
| 180 | 300 | 0.4332 | 0.786 | 0.25872 |  |
| 200 | 300 | 0.57652 | 0.8646 | 0.6798 | 0.29106 |
| 220 | 300 | 0.6498 | 0.9432 | 0.7416 | 0.3234 |
| 240 | 300 | 300 |  | 1.0218 | 0.8034 |
| 260 | 300 |  | 1.1004 | 0.8652 | 0.35574 |
| 280 | 300 |  | 1.179 | 0.927 | 0.38808 |
| 300 |  |  |  | 0.42042 |  |

2) Plot of Temperature Vs Change in length for material


Figure 3: Temperature Vs Change in length graph for steel, aluminum, copper and titanium

## Conclusion:

1) The size diameter that we calculated with the modulus of elasticity seems all wrong for every materials and would not be favorable to chose for the design of a cable. The reason for that is because their stresses are not high enough and the material would break easily for just a small number of cycle.
2) Among the 4 materials analyzed, I would pick steel but with a greater diameter. The reason for that is because it does not break easily and therefore will be more able to resist any load we exposed to the material. In addition to that, the smallest diameter that we can use for steel is $1 / 4$ inches; However It is preferable to use a diameter of $1 / 2$ inches in order to have a maximum number of cycle of about $10^{\wedge} 5$ cycles which will assure that the cable resist to load for a longer period of time or cycles.
